

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/606,011	06	5/24/2003	Jay L. Gainsboro	18279-08041	5720
758	7590	12/14/2005		EXAMINER	
FENWICK	& WEST	LLP	GAUTHIER, GERALD		
SILICON VA 801 CALIFO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041				2645	
				DATE MAILED: 12/14/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/606,011	GAINSBORO, JAY L.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Gerald Gauthier	2645					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Se							
<i>;</i> —	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 59-65 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 59-65 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	es have been received. Is have been received in Applicat In rity documents have been receive In (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/10/2005</u> . 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/606,011 Page 2

Art Unit: 2645

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claim(s) 59-65 in the reply filed on September 20, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that additional features of dependent claim(s) 65, does not make the claims restricted (remarks, page 7). This is not found persuasive because the previous rejection stated clearly that the claim(s) 52-58 and 66-71 are separate invention from the elected claims and from different search classes and subclasses.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/606,011

Art Unit: 2645

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

4. Claim(s) 59-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown et al. (US 5,535,261) in view of Kitchin et al. (US 5,539,812).

Regarding **claim(s) 59**, Brown discloses a method for controlling institutional communications (FIG. 1 and column 1, lines 9-13), comprising:

recording a conversation on telephone connection between a regulated calling party and a called party, the regulated calling party residing in an institution (column 3, lines 36-49);

detecting tones arising during the telephone connection (column 3, lines 36-42); comparing the tones to a predetermined call-bridging tone set to identify an attempt to bridge the telephone connection between the regulated calling party and the call party to include a third party (column 9, lines 39-42).

Brown discloses starting the recording of the telephone conversation on a 3-way calling signal but fails to disclose preventing such call.

However, Kitchin teaches wherein the call-bridging tone set includes at least one of dial tones, busy signal tones, ring signal tones, dual tone multi-frequency tones, and special instruction tones (column 13, lines 37-48); and

executing call-bridging prevention instructions in response to an identified callbridging attempt based upon comparing the tones to the predetermined call bridging tone set (column 13, lines 49-65). Application/Control Number: 10/606,011

Art Unit: 2645

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Brown using the teaching of prevention of 3-way call as taught by Kitchin.

This modification of the invention enables the system to prevent 3-way call so that the system would control outgoing call of inmates.

Regarding **claim(s) 60**, Brown discloses a method, wherein executing the call-bridging prevention instructions comprises: terminating the telephone connection (column 8, lines 46-51).

Regarding **claim(s) 61**, Brown discloses a method, wherein executing the call-bridging prevention instructions comprises: inserting a warning message into the telephone connection (column 8, lines 60-62).

Regarding **claim(s) 62**, Brown discloses a method, wherein executing the call-bridging prevention instructions comprises: recording a telephone number of the third party (column 8, lines 62-67).

Regarding **claim(s) 63**, Brown discloses a method, wherein executing the call-bridging prevention instructions comprises: blocking further calls between the regulated calling party and the called party (column 8, lines 62-67).

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding **claim(s) 64**, Brown discloses a method, wherein executing the call-bridging prevention instructions comprises: comparing a telephone number of the third party against a list of authorized called parties (column 8, lines 46-51); and

terminating the telephone connection unless the telephone number of the third party appears on the list of authorized called parties (column 8, lines 62-67).

Regarding **claim(s) 65**, Brown discloses a method, wherein executing the call-bridging prevention instructions comprises: recording a conversation on telephone connection between a regulated calling party and a called party, the regulated calling party residing in an institution (column 8, lines 46-51);

detecting tones arising during the telephone connection (column 8, lines 46-51); comparing the tones to a predetermined call-bridging tone set to identify an attempt to bridge the telephone connection between the regulated calling party and the called party to include a third party, wherein the call-bridging tone set includes at least one of dial tones, busy signal tones, ring signal tones, dual tone multi-frequency tones, and special instruction tones (column 8, lines 46-51); and

executing call-bridging prevention instructions in response to an identified call-bridging attempt based upon comparing the tones to the predetermined call bridging tone set (column 9, lines 15-32).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gerald Gauthier whose telephone number is (571) 272-7539. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-7547. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GERALD GAUTHIER
PATENT EXAMINER

Gerald Gauthier Examiner Art Unit 2645

gg

December 8, 2005